

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,298	BURGEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

(2) Mr. David Rubin.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 November 2006
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16-61

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Mr. Rubin to request deletion of non-elected claims 18-61, amending claim 17 and deleting claim 16. Mr. Rubin agreed to have these changes done by Examiner's amendment. Regarding claim 17, it was agreed that the term "treating" embraces the phrase "controlling, ameliorating or reducing the risk of." This phrase will, therefore, be deleted from claim 17..